

Managing Shellfish Toxin Risks

Guidance for Harvesters and Processors

June 2022











Version Control

Version	Date	Last review carried out	Next review due
1	April 2014	Amendments following initial consultation Feb – March 2014. Including:	
		- amended phytoplankton trigger levels	
		- inclusion of details regarding enforcement approach	
2	June 2022	Amendments to regulatory requirements following the UK leaving the European Union	
		Update to incidents sectionUpdate to contact table	

Table of Contents

	Version Control	2
	Table of Contents	3
1	. General Introduction	4
	Intended Audience	5
	Purpose of guidance	5
	Legal status of guidance	5
2	. Algal Toxins – Official Control Monitoring	6
	Introduction	6
	Biotoxin monitoring: Maximum permitted levels	6
	Phytoplankton monitoring	7
	Table 2. Phytoplankton OC trigger levels	8
	Where are my nearest OC monitoring points?	8
3	. Toxin Risk Management 'Traffic Light' Tool Kit	9
	How to use the matrices	10
	Traffic light summary	12
	Proposed toxin flesh and phytoplankton trigger levels	12
	Table 3. Proposed toxin and phytoplankton trigger levels	13
	Voluntary trigger levels	13
	Harvesters may wish to consider the toxin history of their area and associated levels phytoplankton. If, in your area, there is evidence that particular levels of phytoplankto have historically indicated high toxicity in shellfish flesh harvesters can consider introducing their own critical level for phytoplankton which would result in increased testing or a voluntary suspension of harvesting	on
	Wild Shellfisheries	14
4	. Questions and Answers	14
	Q. Why use the previous 4 weekly results?	14
	Q. Do I have to use these matrices?	14
	Q. How do I choose which test or analysis to use?	15
	Q. What if no commercial (rapid) EPT kits are available?	15
	Q. If I test my product, will it remain on the market even if the area subsequently clos	
	Risk management for small businesses	
	Enforcement action	
	Useful information	16

5. Annexe	S	17
Annex A	Diarrhetic Shellfish Poisoning Matrix	17
Annex B	Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning Matrix	18
Annex C A	Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning Matrix	18
Annex D A	Azaspiracids and Yessotoxins matrix	19
6. Append	ices	21
APPENDI	X A End product testing – quick reference	21
APPENDI	X B	25
Appendix	C	27
Appendix	D	28
ENFOR	CEMENT ACTIONS IN RESPONSE TO POSITIVE MOI	NITORING RESULT
		28
Ab	breviations	30
7. Contact	details	31

1. General Introduction

Shellfish biotoxins can make people ill and in some cases can result in fatalities. That is why it is important that the risks associated with biotoxins in live bivalve molluscs (LBMs; or filter-feeding shellfish) are managed appropriately by everyone involved in the supply chain.

Retained EU Regulations require Food Standards Scotland (FSS) to undertake an extensive programme of Official Control (OC) monitoring of shellfish. The purpose of this monitoring programme is to determine whether an area should be open or closed for harvesting, depending on the levels of microbiological and other contaminants, including marine biotoxins. The monitoring programme is not designed to provide confirmation of the health status of the final product placed on the market – this is the legal responsibility of the food business operator (FBO).

Retained EU Regulations define the legal obligations of food businesses to ensure shellfish placed on the market is safe to eat. These include a requirement to ensure that LBMs do not exceed the legal limits for the three groups of marine biotoxins which are known to present a risk to human health. These are Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning toxins (ASP), Lipophillic toxins (which include Diarrhetic Shellfish Poisoning toxins or DSP, azaspiracids or AZAs,

and yessotoxins or YTXs), and Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning toxins (PSP). All three groups of biotoxins are regularly detected in shellfish growing waters around the UK.

The uptake of biotoxins by shellfish is highly variable, therefore even when OC monitoring indicates that the levels present in an area are below regulatory limits, there may be occasions when harvested product could still lead to illness. This is a particular risk during the summer months when phytoplankton blooms are most prevalent. For this reason, it is important that FBOs are aware of the biotoxin status of their harvesting area and ensure that they are responding appropriately to control the potential risk that may be associated with their product.

This guidance is intended to provide a framework to assist all food businesses involved in the production, processing and sale of shellfish to assess the biotoxin risks associated with their products, and assist them in designing harvesting and testing regimes that will help to minimise the risks of placing harmful product on the market.

Intended Audience

This guidance is primarily intended for shellfish harvesters, and FBOs handling and processing LBMs, but will also be useful for Local Authorities (LAs).

Purpose of guidance

This guidance document is intended to help food businesses manage those risks and provides a 'traffic light' tool kit which can be applied by either harvesters or approved establishments. The guidance will also help LAs to assess food safety management procedures in the businesses they inspect.

Legal status of guidance

From 1 January 2021, any references to EU Regulations should be read as meaning retained EU law which can be accessed via the EU Exit Web Archive. Retained EU law should be read alongside any EU Exit legislation which was made to ensure that retained EU law operates correctly and is published on legislation.gov.uk.

These guidance notes have been produced to provide advice on how to comply with:

the legal requirements of Regulations (EC) 852/2004 and 853/2004)
 as amended) as enforced by the Food Hygiene (Scotland) Regulations

2006 (as amended). Specifically Chapter II, Article 5 (Hazard analysis and critical control points) of 852/2004 and Section VII, Chapter V (Health Standards for LBMs) of 853/2004.

These guidance notes cannot cover every situation and you may need to consider the relevant legislation itself to see how it applies in your circumstances.

The guidance also covers areas of best practice, which, although not explicitly required by the legislation, will assist FBOs and LAs in ensuring the legal requirements are met.

Businesses with specific queries may wish to seek the advice of their LA. Details of relevant contacts in Foods Standards Scotland (FSS) are provided later in this guidance.

2. Algal Toxins - Official Control Monitoring

Introduction

Marine biotoxins produced by phytoplankton can accumulate in the tissues of filter feeding bivalve shellfish. Toxin related illness can occur, if contaminated shellfish are consumed by humans.

As part of the controls to protect public health, Regulation (EC) 854/2004 requires the Competent Authority (CA) for food safety to establish an OC monitoring programme of classified shellfish relaying and production areas to check for the possible presence of toxin producing phytoplankton in the water and biotoxins in the shellfish flesh.

FSS is the CA for food safety in Scotland and as such is responsible for carrying out this OC monitoring programme in Scotland. Similar monitoring programmes are carried out in England, Wales and Northern Ireland by the Food Standards Agency.

Biotoxin monitoring: Maximum permitted levels

When any of the following maximum permitted levels are breached in an OC sample the shellfish areas concerned must be closed. These closures are applied by LAs and harvesting from an area closed by a statutory notice is an offence.

ASP - 20 milligrams of domoic acid per kilogram flesh

- Lipophilic Toxins:
 - o 160 micrograms OA/DTX/PTX per kilogram flesh
 - o 160 micrograms AZA per kilogram flesh o 3.75

milligrams YTX per kilogram flesh

PSP - 800 micrograms per kilogram flesh.

Monitoring frequency for flesh testing is based on a risk assessment. As a rule, monitoring for all biotoxins is undertaken on a weekly basis where historic data has indicated toxin may be present in an area. In some areas at certain times of the year, this testing frequency has been reduced, as there is evidence that the risk associated with the presence of toxins is lower.

The frequency of FSS testing should <u>not</u> in itself determine the level of end product testing (EPT) required by food businesses. However, the results from such monitoring should be used to inform decisions taken by the FBO regarding harvesting activity and the need to increase the amount of EPT that may be required to demonstrate product safety and reduce the risk of toxic shellfish being placed on the market.

Phytoplankton monitoring

The FSS also oversees a programme of sampling and analysis of water column for the presence of toxin producing phytoplankton species: *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp., *Alexandrium* spp., *Dinophysis* spp., *Prorocentrum lima*, *Prorocentrum cordatum*, *Lingulodinium polyendrum* and *Protoceratium reticulatum*.

Sampling is currently carried out at 40 areas at the frequency provided in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Phytoplankton Monitoring Schedule

March to September	All areas weekly
October	All areas fortnightly
November to February	7 selected areas, one sample per month (selected based on phytoplankton levels in Sept/Oct and historic data)

During periods of reduced frequency of OC biotoxin monitoring (i.e. at any time where shellfish flesh monitoring is fortnightly or monthly), the results of phytoplankton analysis is used by the FSS to trigger additional biotoxin shellfish flesh sampling. Elevated phytoplankton levels can also be used by the FSS to advise LAs to close areas where flesh monitoring has not been undertaken. This tends to apply to wild shellfisheries where insufficient flesh sampling has been undertaken. The phytoplankton trigger levels for the Scottish OC programme are provided in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Phytoplankton OC trigger levels

Species	Trigger level (cells per litre)	Toxin produced
Pseudo-nitzschia spp.	50,000	Domoic Acid (ASP)
Alexandrium spp.	Presence	Saxitoxins (PSP)
Dinophysis spp.	100	Okadaic Acid / Dinophysistoxins (DSP)
Prorocentrum lima	100	Okadaic Acid / Dinophysistoxins (DSP)

Where are my nearest OC monitoring points?

FSS undertakes OC biotoxin flesh monitoring on the basis of a 'Pod' system. A pod usually comprises a number of classified production areas. Each pod contains a representative monitoring point (RMP) from which most samples will be collected. For OC monitoring purposes these RMPs are considered to be representative of all of the production areas within that pod, and any result over the regulatory limit at an RMP will close all associated areas within the pod. There are approximately 90 such pods in Scotland and every harvesting area belongs to one of these pods. Phytoplankton monitoring is undertaken in fewer areas but these are targeted both to provide good geographic and species coverage across the country, as well as targeting areas where high levels of toxicity and harvesting production have taken place in the past.

All harvesters and processors should make themselves familiar with the results from OC monitoring in their own and neighbouring area as well as from the nearest phytoplankton monitoring point or points. All OC monitoring results are published weekly on the <u>shellfish monitoring page of the FSS Website</u>. Historical data for harvesting areas can also be accessed on the <u>Aquaculture website</u> by selecting 'classified shellfish harvesting areas' on the left hand side of the screen. Type the name of your area in the 'place of interest' and then 'select a result' from the options given. Then use the map to identify neighbouring classified areas which will be hatched in red on the screen. **Remember** – not all classified

areas are sampled for flesh or for phytoplankton, but there will be classified areas locally which are being monitored. You should use data from these areas to inform your risk management plan. You can also request to receive all shellfish toxin results on a daily basis from FSS in order to keep your own records if you wish.

Further information on OC monitoring for toxins can be found on the FSS website here. Information from the FSA OC programme is sent to the Aquaculture website on a weekly basis. Should you wish to receive any information in hard copy please contact FSS directly.

3. Toxin Risk Management 'Traffic Light' Tool Kit

The 'traffic light' matrices at **Annex A**, **B**, **C** and **D** are a decision tool kit which can be populated with information from both the FSS OC monitoring programme and any EPT undertaken by harvesters or food businesses themselves. Once information has been entered, the 'traffic lights' suggest the harvesting action and testing considerations that should follow.

Please note that the parameters suggested within the matrices, e.g. 2-4 weeks at amber following a flesh or phytoplankton trigger result, are based on an analysis of historic data from the OC programme. For the limited period that data was analysed, higher biotoxin results in the flesh have almost always been preceded by biotoxin and/or phytoplankton levels at the trigger levels proposed.

These matrices are not guaranteed to be 'fail safe'. They have been tested by FSS against a limited historic dataset only and are intended as a platform upon which food businesses can build appropriate risk management systems.

Please note that the trigger levels proposed in the matrices for PSP and ASP producing phytoplankton (*Alexandrium* spp. and *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp.) are higher than those advised by the National Reference Laboratory for OC monitoring purposes. These higher trigger levels were selected following analysis of historic (4-year) datasets, which found them to be sufficient to flag up toxicity over the regulatory level in subsequent flesh samples. The application of these trigger levels will be reviewed and amended in light of

future research or OC monitoring. If harvesters have information based on their own monitoring which allows different triggers to be applied, then that evidence should be provided to Environmental Health Officers on request

How to use the matrices

Each matrix can be divided into two key sections titled Information and Actions (see below and overleaf).

INFORMATION		TOXIN	Areas move to higher alert status if any one condition is met		
	/	Green	Amber	Red	
1	Official Control results for flesh or data available from FBO's own testing (EPT)	Levels less than amber trigger level detected in OC/EPT for the pod over previous 4 weeks	OC/EPT at or above amber trigger level but below red trigger over previous 4 weeks	OC/EPT gives levels a red trigger level or above	
Information	Phytoplankton Monitoring	Phytoplankton samples at green (e.g. <i>Dinophysis</i> spp. at 0-100 cells/litre over previous 4 weeks)	Phytoplankton samples at amber trigger level (e.g. <i>Dinophysis</i> spp. greater than 100 cells/litre over previous 4 weeks)	[harvesters may wish to consider critical levels based on experience and insert]	
	Wider Area consideration	Neighbouring areas at green status (i.e. at levels defined above)	Neighbouring areas showing flesh or phyto at amber trigger level	Neighbouring areas showing flesh or phyto at red trigger level	
	Harvesting Action	All harvesting can continue subject to routine verification FBO sampling	Harvesting continues, with increased EPT or positive release.	Consider suspension of harvesting unless there is evidence for product safety	
Actions	Post Toxic Event Consideration	Area returns to green if criteria are met and 4 weeks have passed since red criteria applied	Area should remain at amber alert for minimum of 4 weeks before returning to green	Unless there is evidence for product safety, consideration given to suspending harvesting on a precautionary basis until levels fall below red trigger level.	

INFORMATION: The three information rows in each matrix can be populated using data from the FSS OC programme and/or any EPT results that harvesters may have for the batch concerned. This information will result in a risk rating (green, amber, red) which can be applied to the batch under consideration.

ACTIONS: The information determines the risk rating which can then be used by FBOs to inform appropriate actions which would assist them in controlling the risks. Whilst the actions proposed by this guidance are not specifically required by legislation, they will assist FBOs in meeting their legal obligations to ensure safe shellfish is placed on the market.

Rather than apply this 'traffic light' system, harvesters may decide to test all product before it is sold, without reference to OC results or other relevant information. This would reduce the time spent managing toxic risk variables, but would be more expensive.

During periods of high toxicity in their or neighbouring areas, harvesters may wish to cease harvesting on a voluntary basis. Voluntary closure is a precautionary measure which may not be necessary, providing evidence is available from the harvester that the product is safe. Alternative measures such as batch testing/positive release using regulatory methods may be acceptable depending on individual circumstances, providing the FBO can demonstrate product safety.

Application of the risk matrix is described in more detail below:

Information: What do we know about	OC results for flesh or data available from FBO's own testing (EPT)	Results in the weeks preceding the OC programme and/or EPT should be considered here. The results of this flesh analysis will determine whether your harvesting area should be considered 'low', 'medium' or 'high' risk (i.e. green/amber/red respectively) and your harvesting action will be determined accordingly. Any result over trigger level in your area in the previous 4 weeks should be considered indicative of increased risk.
Information: What do we know about	Phytoplankton Monitoring	Phytoplankton can be a good early indicator of future toxicity in shellfish flesh. Results from the OC programme (or any monitoring carried out by the FBO) should be considered here. These results will also help to determine whether your harvesting area should be considered low, medium or high risk (green/amber/red respectively). If there is no phytoplankton available for your own area or neighbouring sites then only flesh results can be considered to inform the type of action that may be required.

Information: What do we know about	Wider Area considerations?	Not all production areas have an associated phytoplankton monitoring point. Neighbouring phytoplankton results should always be considered by harvesters, as these can be indicative of an increased risk in the area, even when it is open for harvesting. Toxin history in the immediate or neighbouring area should also be considered, particularly for ASP and PSP toxins which can lead to serious illness.
Actions: What do we do now?	Harvesting Action	The results from phytoplankton and flesh monitoring in preceding weeks will inform the need to increase the levels of EPT necessary to demonstrate the safety of shellfish harvested from the area or indeed other measures, including whether harvesting should be suspended voluntarily on a precautionary basis. In the absence of access to EPT, consideration may be given to withholding batch movement pending subsequent OC results being made available. For example, where there is an increased risk from biotoxins for which no commercial testing kit is available (e.g. AZA).
Actions: What do we do after the toxic event?	Post Toxic Event Consideration	When an area falls into the red category (i.e. it meets any of the 'red' conditions highlighted in the information section of the matrix) all actions should remain at 'red' until the levels of biotoxins and phytoplankton at the area or nearby areas fall below red trigger levels. After this point, it is recommended that the area moves to amber status for a period of 4 weeks, regardless of the levels detected. If the green criteria are met at the end of those 4 weeks the area may revert back to green.

Traffic light summary

As mentioned above, the information received in the previous four weeks (i.e. a flesh or phytoplankton result) will then determine whether the action falls into a 'green', 'amber' or 'red' alert status:

Risk rating (by colour)	Action
Green	No increase in EPT. FBO should maintain routine verification checks
Amber	Increase frequency of EPT or positive release
Red	Cease harvesting unless evidence is available that product is safe

Proposed toxin flesh and phytoplankton trigger levels

Proposed trigger levels for use in the matrices are summarised in **Table 3**. The trigger levels proposed for DSP in the matrix are based on those currently applied by FSS for OC purposes. However more precautionary trigger levels are proposed for ASP and PSP toxins for use in the matrix. This

is because ASP and PSP can accumulate in shellfish very quickly and are more toxic than DSP in humans. When ASP and PSP toxins reach red trigger levels (particularly in shellfish flesh where levels of 10 mg/kg ASP and 400 µg/kg PSP are proposed), FBOs are recommended to take particular care to ensure they do not place unsafe product on the market, even though the regulatory limit has not been breached. This may involve voluntarily suspending harvesting until there is evidence that toxin levels have reduced, or positive release of product, with EPT undertaken using regulatory analytical methods. To note that whilst FSS monitor for 2 out of 3 of the suspected YTX producers; *Protoceratium reticulatum* and *Lingulodinium polyedrum*, there is no recognised trigger level. FSS does not currently monitor for the other suspected YTX producer *Gonyaulax spinifera*, or any azaspiracidproducing phytoplankton, as these cannot currently be identified using conventional techniques (light microscopy). Therefore only flesh trigger levels can currently be used to inform actions for these toxin groups.

Table 3. Proposed toxin and phytoplankton trigger levels

Toxin	Regulatory level	Amber flesh trigger level	Red flesh trigger level	Phytoplankton indicator	Amber phyto trigger level
Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning (PSP)	800 micrograms/kilogram	>RL*	400 µg/kg	Alexandrium spp. (saxitoxin)	Greater than or equal to 40 cells/litre of Alexandrium
Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning (ASP)	20 of domoic acid milligrams/kilogram	>LOQ	10mg/kg	Pseudo-nitzschia spp. (domoic acid)	Greater than or equal to 150,000 cells/litre
Diarrhetic Shellfish Poisoning (DSP) OA/DTXs/PTXs	160 micrograms of okadaic acid equivalents/kilogram	80 μg/kg	160 µg/kg	Dinophysis spp. Prorocentrum lima (okadaic acid, dinophysistoxin);	Greater than or equal to 100 cells/litre
Azaspiracids (AZAs)	160 micrograms of azaspiracid equivalent /kg	80 μg/kg	160 µg/kg	Not currently monitored	NA
Yessotoxins (YTXs)	3.75 milligrams of yessotoxin equivalent/kilogram	1.8 mg/kg	3.75mg/kg	Protoceratium reticulatum and Lingulodinium polyedrum	NA

^{*}Quantifiable levels of PSP biotoxins detected by OC

Voluntary trigger levels

FSS is aware that some producers have set their own critical (i.e. red) alert levels for phytoplankton and are applying that in their HACCP plans. If harvesters have information based on their own monitoring which allows different triggers to be applied then that evidence should be provided to Environmental Health Officers on request.

Harvesters may wish to consider the toxin history of their area and associated levels of phytoplankton. If, in your area, there is evidence that particular levels of phytoplankton have historically indicated high toxicity in shellfish flesh harvesters can consider introducing their own critical level for phytoplankton which would result in increased testing or a voluntary suspension of harvesting.

Wild Shellfisheries

This guidance is intended for use by anyone placing LBMs on the market. Whilst OC monitoring results are available for classified shellfish production areas, this data is not available for wild fisheries which are not routinely monitored (e.g. offshore scallop grounds). In such cases then harvesters and food businesses should utilise the results of their own monitoring to inform their risk management decisions. Harvesters and FBOs should always bear in mind that bivalves, as filter-feeding organisms, carry inherent risks and biotoxin risk tends to increase significantly during the summer months.

In the case of wild scallops (pectinidae) which are not required to come from classified areas, biotoxin controls are placed at the approved establishment, i.e. processing or dispatch centres. Scallops are known to accumulate higher levels of domoic acid (which can cause ASP in humans) than other bivalves and routine testing for all toxins, and especially ASP, should be incorporated into the HACCP plan of all premises approved to dispatch whole, live scallops.

An absence of local OC monitoring data does not equate to an absence of risk. When OC monitoring data is unavailable, FBOs may need to consider increased testing to demonstrate product safety, particularly during summer months.

Further guidance on the OC programme for biotoxins is available <u>here</u>. Guidance to LAs for premises dealing with wild pectinidae is available <u>here</u>.

4. Questions and Answers

Q. Why use the previous 4 weekly results?

A: Analysis of historic OC monitoring data shows that subsequent toxicity in the flesh is usually flagged up to 4 weeks in advance by either phytoplankton or biotoxin flesh results. As the high biotoxin levels detected in 2013 showed, biotoxin events can arise very quickly.

Q. Do I have to use these matrices?

A: Use of the matrices and this guidance is not a statutory requirement; however, the law requires food businesses to apply 'due diligence' at all stages of harvesting and production. Application of this guidance will not guarantee the safety of your product – but it will help you to demonstrate that you have considered and are managing the risks

associated with shellfish biotoxins accordingly. See also Section on 'Risk Management for Small Businesses' below.

Q. How do I choose which test or analysis to use?

A: FSS has produced information for harvesters and food businesses which will help when deciding which type of kit or test is appropriate [see link and Appendix A]. Some of these kits are antibody based, and are designed to be used by harvesters themselves. However, other analyses such as functional assays must be undertaken in appropriate laboratory based facilities. In all cases, the use of kits or results from third party laboratory based analysis should be undertaken to the satisfaction of the LA and appropriate records made available on request. Undertaking appropriate levels of EPT and maintaining good records associated with all batches tested will help to ensure that product remains on the market despite any subsequent area closure which may occur.

Q. What if no commercial (rapid) EPT kits are available?

A: It is acknowledged that for some toxins no rapid kits exist (for example for YTXs and AZAs). The regulations require that food businesses take all reasonable measures to mitigate against toxin-contaminated product being placed on the market. Where the OC monitoring results indicate a rising trend for such toxins, harvesters (at their own expense) can send samples for LC-MS/MS analysis which utilises the same methodology as the OC programme. Where no EPT is carried out, the only means of evaluating the risk associated with harvested shellfish is to refer to the subsequent OC result before placing it on the market. Such an approach would not provide the same level of assurance as EPT, but will be considered in limited circumstances where access to testing is restricted. Harvesters should also be aware of the potential for enforcement action when there is insufficient evidence to verify product safety (see Appendix D)

A quick reference guide to the type of tests available can be found at **Appendix A**, and a list of laboratories who may be able to offer commercial testing services can be found at **Appendix B**. Please note that this list is not exhaustive.

Q. If I test my product, will it remain on the market even if the area subsequently closes?

A: Provided that food businesses selling shellfish can demonstrate that they have taken all reasonable measures to ensure that the product placed on the market is safe to the satisfaction of their LA, then that product can remain on the market. The regulations do not specify the type of analysis that harvesters must undertake, but testing should be undertaken in a competent and verifiable manner.

Appendix C contains a draft batch record document which some businesses may wish to adapt for their own use.

Risk management for small businesses

The guidance presented in this document provides a model which has been tested against limited data from the OC monitoring programme. FSS is aware however

that some businesses may already be applying alternative risk management models. In such cases food businesses should present those to LAs who will consider whether or not these deliver similar levels of public health protection.

FSS will provide advice to LAs on the robustness of any alternative risk management models presented.

Enforcement action

As highlighted, it is the legal responsibility of every shellfish business to be able to demonstrate the safety of the products they place on the market. When businesses have not been adequately managing biotoxin risks, and are unable to verify to the satisfaction of the enforcing LA that shellfish is safe to eat, it may be necessary to instigate a product recall.

Appendix D provides an outline of the enforcement steps that will be taken by LAs when risk management systems prove inadequate.

As highlighted, this guidance is not fail-safe and there may be exceptional circumstances whereby the FSS has information which indicates that, despite best endeavours of the harvester, for public health reasons the product should be removed from the market. In such cases full discussion with the food business concerned will be undertaken.

In all cases, before shellfish are sold, harvesters and processors need to stop and think – what are the risks associated with my product? What does the available data tell me? Can I afford not to test my product?

Relevant information should never be ignored – use of all trigger levels and wider monitoring data should mean that harvesters stop and think before supplying shellfish without a supportive test result. Testing should be a default consideration and only when risk factors have been actively ruled out should testing not take place.

Useful information

- 1. <u>Annual toxin reports</u> from the FSS programme provide details of toxicity by area and is useful when looking at historic patterns.
- 2. The <u>Scottish Aquaculture website</u> provides a map based interface allowing access to shellfish toxin and monitoring results. These are updated every Monday.
- 3. The <u>Food Standards Scotland website</u> also provides weekly results from the FSS OC programme.
- 4. Information for harvesters on EPT is available here.

5. Annexes

Annex A Diarrhetic Shellfish Poisoning Matrix¹

		DSP	Areas move to higher alert status if any condition is met	
		Green	Amber	Red
Information	Official Control results for flesh or data available from FBO's own testing (EPT)	FSA OC/EPT less than 80 micrograms of OA/DTXs/PTXs equiv /kg flesh detected for the pod in previous 4 weeks	FSA OC/EPT between 80 and 160 micrograms of OA/DTXs/PTXs equiv /kg flesh detected for the pod in previous 4 weeks	FSA OC/EPT above 160 micrograms of OA/DTXs/PTXs equiv /kg flesh
	Phytoplankton Monitoring	Phytoplankton samples (Dinophysis spp. /Prorocentrum lima) at <100cells/litre	Phytoplankton samples (Dinophysis spp. /Prorocentrum lima) equal to or greater than 100 cells/litre in previous 4 weeks	Harvesters may consider critical levels based on experience and insert
	Wider Area consideration	Neighbouring areas at green levels	Neighbouring areas showing flesh or phyto at amber trigger level	Neighbouring areas closed or showing flesh or phyto at red trigger level
Actions	Harvesting Action	All harvesting can continue subject to routine verification sampling	Harvesting continues with increased EPT /positive release	No harvesting (Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding legal limits)
	Post Toxic Event Consideration	Area returns to green if all green criteria are met and 4 weeks have passed since red criteria applied	Once area been in red it must stay at amber alert for minimum of 4 weeks before returning to green	Unless there is evidence for product safety, consideration to be given to suspending harvesting on a precautionary basis until toxin levels fall below red trigger levels in neighbouring areas

¹ Okadaic acid, dinophysistoxins and pectenotoxins together

Annex B Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning Matrix

	,	PSP	Areas move to higher alert status if any one condition is met	
		Green	Amber	Red
Information	Official Control results for flesh or data available from FBO's own testing (EPT)	FSS OC/EPT are below reporting level (<rl)< th=""><th>FSS OC/EPT betweenRL and 400 micrograms/kg flesh in previous 4 weeks</th><th>FSS OC/EPT between 400 and 800 micrograms/kg flesh</th></rl)<>	FSS OC/EPT betweenRL and 400 micrograms/kg flesh in previous 4 weeks	FSS OC/EPT between 400 and 800 micrograms/kg flesh
	Phytoplankton Monitoring	Phytoplankton samples (<i>Alexandrium</i> spp.) at below 40 cells/litre.	Phytoplankton samples (Alexandrium spp.) equal to or greater than 40 cell/litre in last 2 weeks	Harvesters may consider critical level and insert level here
	Wider Area consideration	Neighbouring areas at green levels	Neighbouring areas showing flesh or phyto at amber trigger level	Neighbouring areas closed or flesh or phyto at red trigger level.
Actions	Harvesting Action	All harvesting can continue subject to routine verification sampling	Harvesting continues with increased EPT/positive release.	Unless there is evidence for product safety, cease harvesting on a voluntary basis
	Post Toxic Event Consideration	Area returns to green if all green criteria are met and 4 weeks have passed since red criteria applied	Once area been in red it must stay at amber alert for minimum of 4 weeks before returning to green	Unless there is evidence for product safety, consideration to be given to suspending harvesting on a precautionary basis until toxin levels fall below red trigger levels

Annex C Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning Matrix

ASP	Areas move to higher alert status if any one condition is met					
Green	Amber	Red				

Information	Official Control results for flesh or data available from FBO's own testing (EPT)	FSS OC/EPT are below limit of quantitation (LOQ)	FSS OC/EPT between LOQ and 10 milligrams DA/kg flesh in previous 4 weeks	FSS OC/EPT between 10 and 20 milligrams DA/kg flesh		
	Phytoplankton Monitoring	Phytoplankton samples (<i>Pseudo nitzschia</i> spp.) at 0-150,000 cells/litre	Phytoplankton samples (<i>Pseudo nitzschia</i> spp.) >150,000 cells/litre in last 2 weeks	Harvesters may consider critical level and insert level here		
	Wider Area consideration	Neighbouring areas at green levels	Neighbouring areas showing phyto or flesh at amber trigger level.	Neighbouring areas closed or flesh or phyto at red trigger level.		
Actions	Harvesting Action	All harvesting can continue subject to routine verification sampling	Harvesting continues with increased EPT/positive release.	Unless there is evidence for product safety, cease harvesting on a voluntary basis		
	Post Toxic Event Consideration	Area returns to green if all green criteria are met and 4 weeks have passed since red criteria applied	Once area been in red it must stay at amber alert for minimum of 4 weeks before returning to green	Unless there is evidence for product safety, consideration to be given to suspending harvesting on a precautionary basis until toxin levels fall below red trigger levels.		

Annex D Azaspiracids and Yessotoxins matrix

AZA & YTX	Areas move to higher alert status if any one condition is met				
Green	Amber	Red			

I I	Official Control results	FSS OC/EPT less than	FSS OC/EPT between	FSS OC/EPT above			
or i	for flesh or data	1.8 milligrams YTX /kg	1.8 and 3.75 milligrams	3.75 milligrams YTX /kg			
nat	available from FBO's	flesh in previous 4 weeks	YTX /kg flesh in	flesh			
Information	own testing (EPT)		previous 4 weeks				
		FSS OC/EPT less than	·	FSS OC/EPT above			
		80 micrograms AZA /kg	FSS OC/EPT 80 - 160	160 micrograms AZA			
		flesh in previous 4 weeks	micrograms AZA /kg	/kg flesh			
			flesh previous 4 weeks.	/kg ilesii			
			'				
	Phytoplankton	For YTX: Protoceratium	NA	NA			
	Monitoring	reticulatum and					
		Lingulodinium polyedrum					
		Linguidannam polyedram					
		NA for AZA					
		NA IOI AZA					
	Wider Area	Neighbouring areas at	Neighbouring areas	Neighbouring areas at			
	consideration	above levels	showing flesh at amber	above levels			
			trigger level				
			trigger level				
Þ	Harvesting Action	All harvesting can	Await OC result before	No harvesting			
Actic	Harvesting Action	All harvesting can		No harvesting			
Actions	Harvesting Action	continue subject to	releasing product for				
Actions	Harvesting Action	continue subject to routine verification	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS	(Area closed due to			
Actions	Harvesting Action	continue subject to	releasing product for				
Actions	Harvesting Action	continue subject to routine verification	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding			
Actions	Harvesting Action	continue subject to routine verification	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding			
Actions	Harvesting Action Post Toxic Event	continue subject to routine verification	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding			
Actions	_	continue subject to routine verification sampling	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS analysis	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding legal limits)			
Actions	Post Toxic Event	continue subject to routine verification sampling Areareturns to green if all	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS analysis Once area been in red it	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding legal limits) Unless there is evidence			
Actions	Post Toxic Event	continue subject to routine verification sampling Areareturns to green if all green criteria are met and	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS analysis Once area been in red it must stay at amber alert	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding legal limits) Unless there is evidence for product			
Actions	Post Toxic Event	continue subject to routine verification sampling Areareturns to green if all green criteria are met and 4 weeks have passed	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS analysis Once area been in red it must stay at amber alert for minimum of 4 weeks	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding legal limits) Unless there is evidence for product safety, consideration to			
Actions	Post Toxic Event	continue subject to routine verification sampling Areareturns to green if all green criteria are met and 4 weeks have passed	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS analysis Once area been in red it must stay at amber alert for minimum of 4 weeks	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding legal limits) Unless there is evidence for product safety, consideration to be given to suspending harvesting on a			
Actions	Post Toxic Event	continue subject to routine verification sampling Areareturns to green if all green criteria are met and 4 weeks have passed	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS analysis Once area been in red it must stay at amber alert for minimum of 4 weeks	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding legal limits) Unless there is evidence for product safety, consideration to be given to suspending			
Actions	Post Toxic Event	continue subject to routine verification sampling Areareturns to green if all green criteria are met and 4 weeks have passed	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS analysis Once area been in red it must stay at amber alert for minimum of 4 weeks	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding legal limits) Unless there is evidence for product safety, consideration to be given to suspending harvesting on a precautionary basis until toxin levels fall			
Actions	Post Toxic Event	continue subject to routine verification sampling Areareturns to green if all green criteria are met and 4 weeks have passed	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS analysis Once area been in red it must stay at amber alert for minimum of 4 weeks	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding legal limits) Unless there is evidence for product safety, consideration to be given to suspending harvesting on a precautionary basis until toxin levels fall below red trigger levels			
Actions	Post Toxic Event	continue subject to routine verification sampling Areareturns to green if all green criteria are met and 4 weeks have passed	releasing product for sale or arrange LC-MS analysis Once area been in red it must stay at amber alert for minimum of 4 weeks	(Area closed due to toxin levels exceeding legal limits) Unless there is evidence for product safety, consideration to be given to suspending harvesting on a precautionary basis until toxin levels fall			

6. Appendices

APPENDIX A End product testing – quick reference

Toxin Group/ Regulatory Limit	Test methods and regulatory status	Method characteristics	Considerations
PSP	Antibody based lateral flow tests, such as Jellett® Rapid PSP Test.	Dip-stick type tests - suitable for farm-based testing and implementation in a laboratory. Kits available from commercial companies. Will provide a qualitative result - presence/absence test (positive/negative, yes/no for PSP). May have some limitation in toxin coverage, e.g .may not detect all toxins from the PSP family	Antibody based kits are suitable for EPT, but the results only provide an indication of the levels of PSP toxins that may be present in shellfish.
Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning 800 micrograms saxitoxin equivalents/kg	Antibody based ELISA kits, such as: Biopharm AG RIDASCREEN®FAST PSP SC, Abraxsis®Saxitoxin (PSP) ELISA Test Kit, ZEULAB SaxiTest ELISA Kit, Bioo Scientific MaxSignal® Saxitoxin (PSP) ELISA Test Kit.	Competitive enzyme immunoassay tests - suitable for implementation in a laboratory. Kits and testing available from commercial companies. Will provide a semi-quantitative result - will measure (quantify) levels (concentration) of some of the toxins from the PSP family in a sample and sensitivities of tests vary for some of the toxins from the PSP family. May have some limitation in toxin coverage, e.g. may not detect/measure all toxins from the PSP family.	A measure of total levels of all toxins from the PSP family can be only achieved with a use of a fully quantitative method, such as HPLC FBO should contact a test kit provider or a laboratory offering testing to confirm full method characteristics.

	HPLC Regulatory method	Chemico-physical tests. Only available from specialised testing laboratories. Will provide a fully quantitative result – will measure (quantify) levels (concentration) of all toxins from the PSP family in a sample. Official Control testing method in the UK.	
		Dip-stick type tests - suitable for farm-based testing and implementation in a laboratory. Kits available from commercial companies.	
DSP & PTX	Antibody based lateral flow tests, such as Jellett® DSP Rapid Test and Neogen Reveal® for DSP.	Will provide a qualitative result - presence/absence test (positive/negative, yes/no for DSP). Have some limitation in toxin coverage - do not detect pectenotoxins and will require hydrolysis step to detect	Antibody based kits are suitable for EPT, but the results only provide an indication of the levels of DSP toxins that may be present in shellfish and do not detect/measure pectenotoxins.
Diarrhetic Shellfish Poisoning (DSP)		some of the toxins from DSP family (ester forms of the DSP toxin group). Competitive enzyme immunoassay tests - suitable for	Functional tests are suitable for EPT and give a good indication of the total toxicity of a sample
(okadaic acid [OA] and dinophysis [DTX] toxin group) and pectenotoxins (PTX)		implementation in a laboratory. Kits and testing available from commercial companies.	due to DSP toxins, but do not detect/measure pectenotoxins.
together, 160 micrograms of okadaic acid equivalents/kg.	Antibody based ELISA kits, such as Abraxis® Okadaic acid (DSP) ELISA Test Kit and Bioo Scientific – MaxSignal® Okadaic Acid (DSP) ELISA Test Kit.	Will provide a semi-quantitative result - will measure (quantify) levels (concentration) of toxins from the DSP family in a sample, but sensitivities of tests vary for some of the toxins for the DSP family.	A measure of total levels of all toxins from the DSP family and pectenotoxins can be only achieved with a use of a fully quantitative method, such as LC-MS. FBO should contact a test kit provider or a laboratory offering testing to confirm full method characteristics.
		Have some limitation in toxin coverage, do not detect pectenotoxins and will require hydrolysis step to detect/measure some of the toxins from DSP family (ester forms of -toxin group).	

		Functional colorimatria agazza suitable for	
	Functional assay - Phosphatase Inhibition Assay (PP2A), such as	Functional, colorimetric assay - suitable for implementation in a laboratory. Kits and testing available from commercial companies.	
	Zeulab OkaTest (DSP) kit, Abraxis® Okadaic Acid (DSP) PP2A Plate Kit and Sceti K.K. DSP rapid kit. Zeulab OkaTest (DSP) kit complies	Will provide a quantitative result for the DSP family of toxins, but will not detect or measure pectenotoxins - will only measure (quantify) levels (concentration) of DSP family toxins (sum of okadaic acid and dinophysistoxins 1, 2 and 3) in a sample.	
	with the criteria stipulated by the European Reference Laboratory on Marine Toxins and Commission Regulation 15/2012 for determination of OA-group toxins in molluscs, according to the European Commission (DG-SANCO)	Tests give a good indication of the total toxicity of a sample due to DSP toxins, but do not detect pectenotoxins. May require hydrolysis step to detect/measure some of the toxins from DSP family (ester forms of okadaic acidtoxin group).	
	LC-MS/MS Regulatory reference method	Chemico-physical tests. Only available from specialised testing laboratories. Will provide a fully quantitative result – will measure (quantify) levels (concentration) of all toxins from the	
		DSP family and pectenotoxins in a sample. Official Control testing method in the UK.	
AZP Azaspiracid Poisoning (AZP)		Chemico-physical tests. Only available from specialised testing laboratories.	A measure of total levels of AZP toxins.
160 micrograms of azaspiracid equivalents / kg	LC-MS/MS Regulatory reference method	Will provide a fully quantitative result – will measure (quantify) levels (concentration) of all toxins from the AZP family in a sample.	FBO should contact a laboratory offering testing to confirm full method characteristics.
		Official Control testing method in the UK .	
		Chemico-physical tests. Only available from specialised testing laboratories.	A measure of total levels of yessotoxins.
YTX Yessotoxin 3.75 miligrams /kg	LC-MS/MS Regulatory reference method	Will provide a fully quantitative result – will measure (quantify) levels (concentration) of all yessotoxins in a sample.	FBO should contact a laboratory offering testing to confirm full method characteristics.
		Official Control testing method in the UK .	

	Lateral flow tests, such as Jellett® ASP Rapid Test and Neogen Reveal® for ASP.	Dip-stick type test - suitable for farm-based testing and implementation in a laboratory. Kits available from commercial companies. Will provide a qualitative result - presence/absence test (positive/negative, yes/no for ASP).	
ASP Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning 20 milligrams domoic acid/kg.	Antibody based ELISA kits, such as Biosense® ASP ELISA kit for quantitative determination of domoic acid and Zeulab DomoTest ELISA Kit. Biosense® ASP ELISA is a regulatory method to be used for screening	Competitive enzyme immunoassay tests - suitable for implementation in a laboratory. Kits and testing available from commercial companies. Will provide a quantitative result - will measure (quantify) total content of ASP in a sample. Although an approved regulatory method, Biosense®	Antibody based kits are suitable for EPT, the results provide a good indication of the levels of ASP toxins that may be present in shellfish. An HPLC will provide an accurate measure of total levels of ASP.
	purposes only (AOAC 2006.02) HPLC Regulatory reference method	ASP ELISA is not used for Official Control samples in the UK. Chemico-physical tests. Only available from specialised testing laboratories. Will provide a fully quantitative result – will measure (quantify) levels (concentration) of ASP.	FBO should contact a test kit provider or a laboratory offering testing to confirm full method characteristics.
		Official Control testing method in the UK .	

APPENDIX B

Laboratory	Commercial testing offered	Accreditation*
Agri-Food Biosciences Institute	ASP by HPLC-UV	Yes
(AFBI),	PSP by HPLC-FLD	Yes
Marine Biotoxin Unit,	DSP (okadaic acid,	Yes
Chemical Surveillance Branch	dinophysistoxin) by MBA	
	Lipophilic toxins (okadaic acid,	Yes
Stoney Road	dinophysistoxins, pectenotoxins,	
Stormont Belfast	azaspiracids and yesotoxins) by LC-MS/MS	
Dellasเ Northern Ireland	LC-IVI3/IVI3	
BT4 3SD		
514 005		
Tel: +44 (0)2890 525785		
E-mail: <u>info@afbini.gov.uk</u>		
Website: <u>www.afbini.gov.uk/</u>		
Cefas Shellfish Testing	ASP by HPLC-UV	Yes
	PSP by HPLC-FLD	Yes
The Cefas Weymouth Laboratory	Lipophilic toxins (okadaic acid,	Yes
The Nothe Barrack Road	dinophysistoxins, pectenotoxins,	
рапаск коаd Weymouth	azaspiracids and yesotoxins) by LC-MS/MS	
DT4 8UB	LC-IVIS/IVIS	
Tel: +44 (0)1305 206600		
Email: <u>cst@cefas.co.uk</u>		
Website: <u>www.cefas.defra.gov.uk</u>		
Neogen Europe Ltd - trading as	ASP by HPLC-PDA	Yes
VeroMara	PSP by ELISA	Yes
	DSP (okadaic acid,	No
European Headquarters of Neogen	dinophysistoxin) by Phosphate	
Corporation The Dairy School	Inhibition Assay	
Auchincruive		
Ayr		
KA6 5HW		
Tel:+44(0)1292 525610		
E-mail: <u>info_uk@neogeneurope.com</u>		
Website: www.neogeneurope.com		

Marine Institute Headquarters	ASP by HPLC-UV	Yes
Dipvilla	PSP by HPLC-FLD	Yes
Rinville, Oranmore, Co. Galway Republic of Ireland Email: institute.mail@marine.ie Website: http://www.marine.ie/home/services/opera tional/seafood/Shellfish+Biotoxins.htm Phone: +353 91 387 200 Fax +353 91 387 201	Lipophilic toxins (okadaic acid, dinophysistoxins, pectenotoxins, azaspiracids and yesotoxins) by LC-MS/MS	Yes

^{*} check with the laboratory which species are currently covered by the accreditation

This is not an exhaustive list. Please note that other laboratories may offer commercial services but may not be accredited.

Reference to accreditation means an accreditation by an official organisation to ISO17025 standard (in the UK, the United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS)). Accreditation to this standard means that testing laboratories bodies have been assessed against internationally recognised standards to demonstrate their competence, impartiality and performance capability.

Appendix C

Pre Harv est Form - to b	oe fille	ed o	ut for	eacl	n har	v est e	evei	<u>nt</u>											
Person Ordering/ Risk Assessing								ВАТ	CH N	0.									
Date of Order / Risk Assessment																			
Site name								DATE (OF HAR'	VEST									•
oxin Closure Notice		Yes			No			HARVE	STED BY	Y									
Nearest phyto site																			
Date of Last OC flesh			Result	of OC			ASP			PSP			DSP			AZA			
Date of Last OC plankton			Plnktn	result			Pseu	do-nitz:	schia	Alexar	ndrium		Dinopl	nysis/P	.Lima				
Date of last EPT sample			result c	of EPT					(cells/I)			(cells/l)			(cells/l)				
ALERT STATUS RISK ASSESSMENT																			
Condition of toxin in flesh in ord	der to r	emain	green		Green		asp <	RL		psp < F	₹L		dsp < 8	80					
Condition of plankton in order	to rem	ain gre	een		Green		Psud	-nitz 0-1	50000	Alex'm	<40		Dinop	hysis <	100				
Condition of toxin in flesh in orc	der to b	e amb	oer (dai	ly EPT)	Ambe	r	asp >	RL<15		psp >R	L<400		dsp 50	-160		YTXs	1.8< 3.	75mg/kg	
Condition of plankton in order	to be a	amber	(daily E	PT)	Ambe	r	Psud	-nitz >1	50,000	Alex'm	>=40		Dinop	hysis >=	=100				
Were any of amber conditions r	met in	last 4 v	veeks?*	**			Yes		No	Yes		No	Yes		No				
							>10 F	SA San	ple or	> 400 F	SA San	nple or	> 160 F	SA Sar	nple or		>3.75m	~ ~	
Conditions for flesh which woul	ld requ	ire har	vesting	to stop	Red		+ve	EPT		+ve EP	T'		+ve EF	PT		AZAs	>160µg	ı/kg	
Plankton conditions which wou	ıld reqi	Jire hai	rvesting	to sto	Red														
Amber conditions in previous 4	4 week	s mear	n ambe	r actio	ns app	ly excep	ot for F	Nitzcł	nia (ASF	$^{\circ}$) and $^{\prime}$	Nexan	drium (I	PSP) wł	nere					
amber conditions apply to prev	vious 2	weeks	only.																
*Neighbouring area profiles sho	ould a	so be	conside	red esp	pecially	during	high r	isk peri	ods.										
																			\perp
												-					-		-
					-														
																			-

Appendix D

ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS IN RESPONSE TO POSITIVE MONITORING RESULT

- 1. Where Food Business Operators (FBOs) have adopted the 'traffic light' model outlined in this document, Local Authorities (LAs) can be satisfied that risks are adequately controlled. Where this model has not been adopted, LAs must be satisfied that the alternate controls implemented are effective in ensuring that only safe products are placed on the market.
- 2. Where the food business is unable to satisfy the LA that adequate controls are in place in relation to marine biotoxins, formal enforcement action including withdrawal of approval should be initiated.
- 3. Where an Official Control (OC) monitoring sample results in a closure of a classified area, the relevant LA will make contact with FSS Incidents Team only if harvesting has taken place and no appropriate EPT is available that could require product to be withdrawn/recalled. It will be expected that the FBO will initiate a recall of all products placed on the market since the last point where products were known to be compliant (the last known point of safety). This will normally be the last satisfactory relevant EPT result. In the absence of appropriate EPT, all products harvested since the last 'clear' OC monitoring sample will require to be recalled. This is in line with processes employed across the rest of the food supply chain.
- 4. This revised recall timeline is greater than previously applied, where only products harvested subsequent to the failed sample being collected were recalled. Previous recall practise allowed products for which there was no evidence of compliance with the food safety requirements to remain on the market and did not provide adequate public health protection.

Article 19 of Retained EC Regulation 178/2002 requires that:

'if a food business operator considers or has reason to believe that a food which it has imported, produced, processed, manufactured or distributed is not in compliance with the food safety requirements, it shall immediately initiate procedures to withdraw the food in question from the market where the food has left the immediate control of that initial food business operator and inform the competent authorities thereof. Where the product may have reached the consumer, the operator shall effectively and accurately inform the consumers of the reason for its withdrawal, and if necessary, recall from consumers

products already supplied to them when other measures are not sufficient to achieve a high level of health protection.'

- 5. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, e.g. a more recent EPT result, an OC monitoring sample above maximum permitted level will provide the basis for recalling all products harvested since the previous satisfactory OC monitoring sample due to the lack of any confirmatory data to demonstrate that such products were in compliance with food safety requirements.
- 6. Subsequent to any recall or withdrawal of products from the market, it will be necessary to undertake a review of the HACCP to ensure that appropriate controls are implemented to prevent a recurrence. As indicated earlier, if the LA is not satisfied that appropriate controls are in place, formal enforcement action including withdrawal of approval should be initiated.

For all queries regarding food incident handling please email: incidents@fss.scot

Abbreviations

ASP Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning

AZA(s) Azaspiracid(s) – group of lipophilic toxins

CA Competent Authority

DSP Diarrhetic Shellfish Poisoning

EC European Commission

EPT End Product Test
EU European Union

FBO(s)FSAFood Standards AgencyFSSFood Standards Scotland

HACCP Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point. An internationally

recognized food safety management system that identifies, evaluates, and controls hazards that are significant for food safety. European food law requires every FBO (except primary producers) to implement a food safety management system

based on HACCP principles.

LA(s) Local Authority(ties) – the local competent authority

responsible for enforcement of food safety legislation

LBM(s) Live Bivalve Mollusc(s)

Liquid Chromatography with tandem Mass Spectrometry

OA/DTX(s)/PTX(s) Okadaic Acid / Dinophysistoxin(s) / Pectenotoxin(s) – group of

lipophilic toxins

OC(s) Official Control(s)

PSP Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning

YTX(s) Yessotoxin(s) – group of lipophilic toxins



7. Contact details

Name	Title	Contacts
Graham Ewen	SLA & Contracts Manager	Graham.Ewen@fss.scot
Kasia Kazimierczak	Senior Scientific Advisor	kasia.kazimierczak@fss.scot
David Wilkie- Thorburn	Senior Policy Advisor	David.Wilkie-Thorburn@fss.scot

Food Standards Scotland Pilgrim House, Old Ford Road, Aberdeen, AB11 5RL.

T: 01224 285100

E. Enquiries@fss.scot

www.foodstandards.gov.scot

