

FSS/ENF/18/001

Food Standards Scotland

Audit Charter

Audits performed by Food Standards Scotland in accordance with the Food (Scotland) Act 2015, The Official Feed and Food Controls (Scotland) Regulations 2009 and Article 4(6) of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004.



Document Information

Version	Date	Reason for Amendment	Summary of Changes	Author
1.0	January 2018	Replaces Audit Policy Document of May 2016 (FSS/ENF/16/007)	Minor amendments to ensure relevant parts of Commission Decision EC No 2006/677 are referenced.	Food Standards Scotland Audit Branch

Table of Contents

1.0	Background	3
2.0	Legal Basis	3
3.0	Mission, Objectives & Role	5
4.0	Organisation of the Audit Function	6
5.0	Implementation of the Audit Function	6
6.0	The Audit Process	8
7.0	Types of Audits	11
8.0	Independent Scrutiny	11
Anno	ex 1 Definition of Assurance Categories	12

1.0 Background

- 1.1 Food Standards Scotland (FSS) has a key role in overseeing delivery of feed and food law (any form of control that is performed for the verification of compliance with feed and food law). Food Standards Scotland seeks to work in partnership with Local Authorities and others to help them to enforce feed and food law and is therefore pro-active in setting and monitoring standards, auditing delivery of feed and food law and ensuring that this activity complies with the Scottish Regulators' Strategic Code of Practice.¹
- 1.2 In addition to our work auditing and assessing the performance of Local Authorities and other delivery bodies we will apply the Scottish Government's five principles of external scrutiny public focus, independence, proportionality, transparency, accountability to ensure our external scrutiny activity is targeted where needed, and applied proportionately, and that the costs of scrutiny are balanced with the benefits to service users and other stakeholders.
- 1.3 Article 4(6) of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004 requires that competent authorities shall carry out internal audits or may have external audits carried out, and shall take appropriate measures in the light of their results, to ensure that they are achieving the objectives of this regulation. These audits shall be subject to independent scrutiny and shall be carried out in a transparent manner.
- 1.4 Food Standards Scotland is the central competent body with overall responsibility for the monitoring of enforcement of food legislation in Scotland. Enforcement of this food legislation is managed by the 32 relevant competent authorities (Local Authorities).

2.0 Legal Basis

2.1 The power to set standards, monitor and audit the performance of enforcement authorities was conferred on Food Standards Scotland by

¹ http://www.gov.scot/Resource/0046/00467429.pdf

Sections 3 and 25 of the Food (Scotland) Act 2015 and Regulation 7 of The Official Feed and Food Controls (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

• Food (Scotland)Act 2015

Section 3(1)(e) gives Food Standards Scotland the power to monitor the performance of, and promote best practice by, enforcement authorities in enforcing food legislation.

Section 25 Reporting on enforcement action by others

- (1) In consequence of the exercise of its function under section 3(1)(e), Food Standards Scotland may make a report to any enforcement authority on the authority's performance in enforcing any food legislation.
- (2) A report under subsection (1) may include guidance as to action which Food Standards Scotland considers—
- (a) is necessary to enable the enforcement authority to meet any standards determined under section 23(1) which apply, or
- (b) otherwise, would help to improve the performance of the authority.
- (3) Food Standards Scotland may direct an authority to which a report has been made under subsection (1)—
- (a) to arrange for the publication (in such manner as may be specified) of
- (i) the report, or
- (ii) specified information relating to the report, or
- (b) to notify Food Standards Scotland (within such period as may be specified) of what action the authority has taken or proposes to take in response to the report.
- (4) In subsection (3), "specified" means specified in the direction.
- 2.2 Similar functions are also contained within section 7 of The Official Feed and Food Controls (Scotland) Regulations 2009 which implement the requirements of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004.

Regulation (EC) No 882/2004

- 2.3 As a designated competent authority as defined within Schedule 5 of The Official Feed and Food Control (Scotland) Regulations 2009 Local Authorities are required to comply with Article 4(6) of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004. In order to help Local Authorities fulfil this requirement, (as part of its central role under the Food (Scotland) Act 2015 and Official Feed and Food Control (Scotland) Regulations 2009) Food Standards Scotland will deliver external audit arrangements.
- 2.4 FSS audits of official controls are external audits of these competent authorities. They may supplement internal audits conducted by the Local Authorities themselves to meet the requirements of Article 4 (6) of Regulation (EC) No. 882/2004.

3.0 Mission, Objectives & Role

Mission

"To effectively evaluate the performance of official controls and to confirm that the food safety control network and planned arrangements adequately safeguard & protect consumers' health & interests, and comply with food law"

Objectives

- 3.1 To comply with the requirements of Article 4(6) of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004, an audit system should cover the following three points set out in Article 2(6):
 - (a) Verification of compliance with planned arrangements in order to provide assurances that official controls are carried out as intended and that any instructions or guidelines given to staff carrying out the controls are followed. This may largely be addressed by document review, but will also require on-site verification.
 - (b) Verification of the effective implementation of planned arrangements. In order to assess effectiveness, that is the extent to which planned results are achieved, on-site operational implementation must be included. This should

include an assessment of the quality and consistency of the controls and should involve on-site audit activities.

(c) The audit system should also seek to assess whether the planned arrangements are suitable to achieve the objectives of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004, and in particular the single integrated multi-annual national control plan. This should include assessing the suitability of official controls, with regard, for example, to their frequency and the methods applied, having regard to the structure of the production chain(s) and to production practices and volume.

Role

3.2 FSS audits of official controls involve verifying compliance by Local Authorities with regard to relevant legislation and centrally issued guidance, implementation of MANCP and adherence to local policies, procedures and plans to check that arrangements are being followed, whether they are effective and are suitable to achieve the objectives of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004. These audits invariably involve on site verification at the local authority levels during which on the spot verification at the Food Business Operator (FBO) premises level will also be required.

4.0 Organisation of the Audit Function

4.1 FSS audit function sits within the Operations Directorate reporting to the Director of Operations. This directorate is also responsible for Operational Delivery, Enforcement Delivery and the Food Crime and Incident Unit. Overall accountability lies with the Chief Executive.

5.0 Implementation of the Audit Function

5.1 Implementation of the audit function is carried out using the guidance provided in Commission Decision EC No 2006/677² and the mandatory requirements from Regulation (EC) No 882/2004.

² Commission Decision 2006/677/EC

- 5.2 FSS applies a systematic approach in relation to the planning, conduct, follow up and management of audits.
- 5.3 FSS audit programmes consider all areas of the MANCP within its remit. It also covers the performance of official controls by Local Authorities as defined in Regulation (EC) No 882/2004.
- 5.4 FSS applies a risk approach to audit planning and defining the audit priorities for the Authority in line with its primary responsibilities.
- 5.5 In accordance with the guidance provided in Commission Decision 677/2006/EC, FSS audit programme is monitored and reviewed and any opportunities for improvement highlighted and incorporated into future planning.

Continuous Improvement Cycle



6.0 The Audit Process

- 6.1 Commission Decision 2006/677/EC provides guidelines for the conduct of the audit on official controls to verify compliance with feed and food law, animal health and animal welfare rules referred to in Article 4(6) of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004 and it is this guidance document that Food Standards Scotland will follow in relation to its audit function. This Commission Decision describes the nature of the audit process in that it should display the following:
 - Systematic Approach
 - Transparency
 - Independence
 - Independent Scrutiny of the Audit Process
- 6.2 Article 2(6) of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004 defines audit as "a systematic and independent examination to determine whether activities and related results comply with planned arrangements and whether these arrangements are implemented effectively and are suitable to achieve objectives."

Audit and Verification Activities

6.3 FSS Audit Branch is responsible for verification of the performance of official controls by Local Authorities in accordance with their planned arrangements. These audits are performed against the FSS documented audit briefs and procedures, which are published on the FSS website.

On site Verification (Reality Checks)

6.4 Verification visits have formed part of the audit system for some time and are a useful means of verifying compliance with the planned arrangements and these will continue to be an integral part of the audit. The approach to this will be dependent on the scope of the audit so in some cases there will be no prior warning given of the number of reality checks, locations or business details so as to ensure effective auditing of official controls.

Audit Standard

Recommendations will be raised against the appropriate primary regulation, therefore in relation to official controls this will be Regulation (EC) No 882/2004. Recommendations for *corrective and preventive* action will be raised against the specific articles of this regulation, supported by any other applicable regulations, the Food Law Code of Practice and centrally issued guidance. With regard to audits of other food legislation that is not official controls the same principle will apply in that any recommendations will be raised against the primary regulation (e.g. Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 (which lays down the general principles and requirements of food law) supported by the Food Law Code of Practice etc. as appropriate.)

'Corrective action' means action to eliminate the cause of a detected non-conformity or other undesirable situation.

'Preventive action' means action to eliminate the cause of a potential nonconformity or other undesirable situation.

6.6 Additionally, on the spot confirmation at the Food Business Operator (FBO) premises will also be required, to verify full implementation of the necessary arrangements.

Audit Reporting

- 6.7 Audit reports should contain clear conclusions stemming from the audit findings and, where appropriate, recommendations. Where examples of good practice are identified these will, wherever appropriate be included in the final report.
- 6.8 Conclusions should address the compliance with the planned arrangements, the effectiveness of the implementation, and the suitability of the planned arrangements to achieve the stated objectives, as appropriate. They should be based on objective evidence. In particular, where conclusions are drawn as to the planned arrangements' suitability to achieve the stated objectives,

evidence may be obtained from the compilation and analysis of results from several audits. In this case conclusions should extend beyond the boundaries of individual establishments and individual authorities.

6.9 Recommendations should address the end-result to be delivered rather than means of correcting non-compliance. Recommendations should be based on sound conclusions.

Level of Assurance

6.10 A level of assurance will be assigned following each audit. The format for this will be based on Scottish Government auditing practices. See Annex 1.

Monitoring, Corrective Action and Follow up Activities

6.11 Where appropriate, an action plan should be drawn up and implemented by the auditee. It should propose time-bound corrective and preventive action to address any weakness identified by the audit or audit programme. The audit team will assess the suitability of the action plan and may be involved in verifying its subsequent implementation:

Follow-up of Audit Outcome / Root Cause Analysis

- 6.12 Corrective and preventive action should not be confined to addressing specific technical requirements but should, where appropriate, include system-wide measures. A root cause analysis of any non-compliance should be conducted by the auditee (and submitted as part of the action plan) in order to determine the most appropriate corrective or preventive action.
- 6.13 FSS audit team will close off the audit files following assessment of the implementation of the agreed action place.

Dissemination of Best Practice

6.14 The Food (Scotland) Act 2015 gives Food Standards Scotland the power to promote best practice by, enforcement authorities in enforcing food legislation, this will therefore be considered as part of any audit.

7.0 Types of Audits

Audit of Official Controls

7.1 These audits examine the effectiveness and appropriateness of official controls as implemented by Local Authorities in relation to food law and MANCP requirements.

Targeted or Focused Audits

7.2 These audits examine Local Authorities effectiveness and the appropriateness of official controls compliance with respect to a specific aspect of food law.

8.0 Independent Scrutiny

- 8.1 Commission Decision 2006/677/EC *states that "*in order to check whether it is achieving its objectives, the audit process should be subject to scrutiny by an independent person or body. Such independent person or body should have sufficient authority, expertise and resources to carry out this task effectively.
- 8.2 Independent Scrutiny is defined as 'A regular and planned process external to the audit body and the audited organisation with particular focus on the audit process to ensure that the process is capable of producing objective results and meeting its obligations under Regulation (EC) No 882/2004'. FSS audit will be subject to independent scrutiny in accordance with the above.

Annex 1 Definition of Assurance Categories

Assurance

Substantial Assurance Controls are robust and well managed	Risk, governance and control procedures are effective in supporting the delivery of any related objectives. Any exposure to potential weakness is low and the materiality of any consequent risk is negligible.
Reasonable Assurance	
Controls are adequate but require improvement	Some improvements are required to enhance the adequacy and effectiveness of procedures. There are weaknesses in the risk, governance and/or control procedures in place but not of a significant nature.
Limited Assurance	
Controls are developing but weak	There are weaknesses in the current risk, governance and/or control procedures that either do, or could, affect the delivery of any related objectives. Exposure to the weaknesses identified is moderate and being mitigated.
Insufficient Assurance	
Controls are not acceptable and have notable weaknesses	There are significant weaknesses in the current risk, governance and/or control procedures, to the extent that the delivery of objectives is at risk. Exposure to the weaknesses identified is sizeable and requires urgent mitigating action.